. Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/806,629	FRANCES, JEAN-MARC
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Marc S. Zimmer	1712
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>allowed</u>		
(1) <u>Marc S. Zimmer</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Jean Louis Seugnet</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 5 November 2003	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicate ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	oplicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 27,28		
Prior art documents discussed: Priou et al		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GE See Continuation Sheet	ENERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepandirectly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepandid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sum</li> </ul>	. The examiner will provide a attention at the record of the substance of	written summary of the substance
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applie	cant/Applicant's Representativ	e Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant was advised that it had been concluded that claim 27 could not be allowed over the applied reference because the Examiner had failed to appreciate that silicone (d) was disclosed with sufficient specificity to be anticipated by the reference. Applicant authorized the removal of this embodiment from claim 27. As for claim 28, the Examiner expressed concerns over the employment of the word "using" as a step in method claim because there was no indication of what manipulations were to be performed on the composition. Applicant agreed to replace "using" with "shaping and curing"...